



NEW YORK UNIVERSITY

WASHINGTON SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10003
AREA 212 777-2000

Office of the Assistant to the President
for Student Affairs

July 14, 1966

Copy for the information of
James E. Stanton, Esq.
Miller, Montgomery and Spalding
One Wall Street
New York, New York

Dear Jim:

Dick Robins has raised a question which I think should be pursued with vigor, hopefully to avoid the necessity of a hearing on competitive applications which would be expensive and time consuming.

He has learned that the Federal Communications Commission itself will make a decision along the following lines:

- a) whether to reject both our and Fairleigh Dickinson's applications;
- b) whether to accept both;
- c) whether to accept one and not the other.

We do not know the procedure by which the FCC will make this decision. If it is in open session or if any form of supporting evidence can be submitted to a closed session, cannot we present the view that our "lateness" was caused by the ambiguity of the FCC instructions, whereas Fairleigh Dickinson (I am assuming the people there are still interested) will be clearly and obviously late if allowed to re-submit.

Could you or your man in Washington find out whether and, if so, how we can fight the possibility of decision (b) above, and enhance the possibility of decision (c) -- in our favor.

Yours very sincerely,

Harold B. Whiteman, Jr.

cc: Mr. Richard Robins